

OFFICER REPORT TO LOCAL COMMITTEE (REIGATE AND BANSTEAD)

AMENDMENT TO PARKING CONTROLS IN WOODMANSTERNE STREET, BANSTEAD

16 APRIL 2012

KEY ISSUE

To consider extending the proposed new waiting restrictions in Woodmansterne Street, Banstead.

SUMMARY

Feedback from the November 2011 advertisement for new double yellow lines in Woodmansterne Street, Woodmansterne suggested that they were not long enough and should be extended.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS

The Local Committee (Reigate and Banstead) is asked to agree:

- to the introduction of the extension of the proposed double yellow lines in Woodmansterne Street, as shown in green on the drawing in Annex A;
- (ii) that any objections to the advertised proposal are considered and dealt with, in line with the county council's constitution, by the Parking Team Manager in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of this Committee and the relevant County Councillor.

1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 1.1 A number of changes to the parking controls were agreed by this Committee on 19 September 2011 and duly advertised in November 2011, as part of the Banstead and Southern Villages Parking Review – Part 2. These included the introduction of double yellow lines "on Woodmansterne Street on both sides between the access to the cricket ground and the access to the public car park in the area of the Woodman public house".
- 1.2 In response to the advert, the County Council received no objections to the proposals for Woodmansterne Street, but it did receive representations to extend the double yellow lines on the northern side of the road.

2 ANALYSIS

- 2.1 Once new parking proposals have been advertised, the County Council can decide not to proceed with part or all of the proposals in response to receiving comments and objections; but the council cannot decide to proceed with additional proposals, as these would not have been advertised.
- 2.2 In this case, the suggestion is to extend the double yellow lines, so that they cover all the stretch of the road that has a pavement, and so is narrower and cannot so easily sustain parking. This is shown in the drawing in Annex A, where the original proposed double yellow lines are shown in red and the recommended extension is shown in green.
- 2.3 In order to be able to implement this additional change in reasonable time and without being unduly costly, it has been advertised alongside the amendments to proposals in Quality Street and School Hill in Merstham. Although the change has been advertised, it cannot be introduced without the approval of the Local Committee.

3 CONSULTATIONS

- 3.1 The statutory consultation has taken place as part of the advertisement process to implement parking controls.
- 3.2 Consultation has taken place with the county councillor for the division.

4 FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

4.1 As the extension of the yellow lines is relatively short, the cost will be absorbed in the funding for the review as a whole.

5 EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no specific equalities and diversity implications.

6 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

6.1 There are no crime and disorder implications.

7 CONCLUSION AND REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7.1 It is recommended that the extension to the double yellow lines identified in Annex A be progressed. The main reasons are as follows:-
 - to improve road safety
 - to assist with access for larger vehicles
 - to ease congestion and improve the environment for residents

8 WHAT HAPPENS NEXT

8.1 The responses to the advertisement are considered, and unless there are any insurmountable obstacles raised in an objection the extension of the new double yellow lines should be introduced.

LEAD OFFICER: TELEPHONE NUMBER:	Rikki Hill, Parking Project Team Leader 0300 200 1003
E-MAIL:	parking@surreycc.gov.uk
CONTACT OFFICER: TELEPHONE NUMBER:	Adrian Harris, Assistant Engineer 0300 200 1003
E-MAIL:	parking@surreycc.gov.uk
BACKGROUND PAPERS:	

ITEM 6

www.surreycc.gov.uk/reigateandbanstead